

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria. Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/718,592	11/24/2003	Umberto Scarpellini	22106-00048-US 1230 EXAMINER		
30678	7590 07/13/2004				
CONNOLI	CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP			DINH, PHUONG K	
SUITE 800 1990 M STI	SUITE 800 1990 M STREET NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
.,,,,,,,,	TON, DC 20036-3425	2839			
			DATE MAILED: 07/13/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)
	10/718,592	SCARPELLINI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Phuong KT Dinh	2839
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timy within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 N	lovember 2003.	
,— , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	·	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-17 is/are withdrawn 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1829, 34-35 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 30-33 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	is have been received. Is have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔛 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>07/04</u> .	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 24 and 30 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 24, line 4, "the electrical equipment" has lack antecedence basis.

Claim 30, removed the closed parentheses at the end of the paragraph.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 18-20, 25-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chewning Jr. (U. S. Patent 4,469,393).

Regarding claim 18, Chewning, see figures 1 and 2, discloses a connector device comprising: a substantially parallelepipedal structure with a first pair, a second pair and a third pair of faces set opposite to one another, see figure 2, wherein each face of the first pair of faces is designed to receive coupling means 33 and at least two faces of the second and third pair of faces include mechanism coupling means.

Regarding claim 19, Chewning discloses the mechanical coupling means 33 is suitable for connection to low-voltage electrical equipment and corresponding accessories.

Application/Control Number: 10/718,592 Page 3

Art Unit: 2839

Regarding claim 25, Chewning, see figures 1-2, discloses mechanical coupling means for connection to the electrical equipment are positioned on both of the faces of the third pair of faces.

Regarding claim 26, Chewning, see figures 1-2, discloses mechanical coupling means for connection to a further connection device are positioned on one face of the second pair of faces.

Regarding claim 27, Chewning, see figures 1-2, discloses the mechanical coupling means are jointing means.

Regarding claim 28, Chewning, see figures 1-2, discloses the mechanical coupling means are sliding means.

Regarding claim 29, Chewning, see figures 1-2, discloses a voltage apparatus comprising: a substantially parallelpipedal structure with a front wall, a rear wall, and a first sidewall and second side wall.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chewning in view of David (U. S. Patent 5,421,746).

Application/Control Number: 10/718,592

Art Unit: 2839

Regarding claim 21, Chewning discloses the claimed invention except for cables one or more cables are positioned on one face of the first pair of faces and one ore more plugs are positioned on the other face of the first pair of faces. David discloses one or cables 3, socket 1. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Chewning to provide plug, cables and socket as taught by Duck so as to provide current.

Regarding claims 22- 23, Chewning discloses the claimed invention except for one ore more sockets are positioned on one face of the first pair of faces and one or more plugs are positioned on the other face of the first pair of faces. Wu discloses adapter 30. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Chewning to provide the adapter as taught by Wu so as to joint parts together.

Claims 34 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chewning in view of Duck (U. S. Patent 2003/0194914).

Regarding claims 34 and 35, Chewning discloses the claimed invention except for the apparatus are circuit and disconnector. Duck, column 1, lines 50-56, discloses a mounted to a mechanism. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Chewning to provide the connector to equipment of different types include circuit break, switch and could be mounted onto any type of equipment as taught by Duck so as to provide the signal power to mechanism.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 30-33 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

For claims 30-33, the connector device as tied in by claim limitations with specific feature of the low voltage apparatus define patentably over Chewning and the other cited reference.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phuong KT Dinh whose telephone number is 571-272-2090. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 -5, 5 days a week.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn Field can be reached on 571-272-2092. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/718,592

Art Unit: 2839

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Examiner

Phuong Dinh PHUMF July 08, 2004.